

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,647	10/31/2000		Katsushi Nihei	016886/0179	7105
22428	7590	07/03/2003			
	ND LARDNER	}	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500 3000 K STR		7	PEREZ GUTIERREZ, RAFAEL		
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2686	A
			•	DATE MAILED: 07/03/2003	${\mathcal U}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1/1

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/673,647

Applicant(s)

Nihei et al.

Examiner

Rafael Perez-Gutierrez

Art Unit **2683**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	
- If NO p	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Any re	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	
earned Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 31, 20	000
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ✓ This action	on is non-final.
3) 🗆	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rete Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
•	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	
9) 💢	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🕽	☑ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. $\[igwedge $ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No
	application from the International Burea	
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm		
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) [Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. The spacing of the lines of the specification is such as to make reading and entry of amendments difficult. New application papers with lines double spaced on good quality paper are required.

Claim Objections

4. The claims are objected to because the lines are crowded too closely together, making reading and entry of amendments difficult. Substitute claims with lines one and one-half or double spaced on good quality paper are required. See 37 CFR 1.52(b).

5. Claims 1, 3, and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities:

a) On line 5 of claim 1, delete "the" after "operates"; and

b) On line 3 of claim 3 and 4, replace "CTI" with --computer telephony integration

(CTI)-- before "device".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Consider claims 3 and 4, it not clearly understood by the Examiner if the recitations of "(operating system)" and "(voice system)" are part of the claims. It appears that they are merely being recited as examples of systems that the mobile turret system can control, in which case, the claims must be amended to either explicitly recited such systems as part of the claim (i.e., without parentheses as to read, for example, as follows: "control the operating system of a virtual turret") or to remove the recitations all together. In any case, further clarification in the claims is required.

1

For purposes of the present Office Action, the recitations of "(operating system)" and "(voice system)" are not considered to be part of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

8. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Greene et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,212,177 B1).

Consider **claims 1 and 2**, Greene et al. clearly show and disclose a remote access system (mobile turret system) (figure 1) for connecting, via the Internet 26 (network connection) and/or the PSTN 29 (telephone connection) (figure 1 and column 1 lines 31-65), a virtual turret side

Application/Control Number: 09/673,647

Art Unit: 2683

(figure 1) composed on the basis of a general-purpose personal computer (column 2 lines 15-40)

Page 5

and a mobile turret side composed of a computer 20 (figure 1) other than said virtual turret in a

financial trading network (dealing communication system) (column 1 lines 5-12) to each other by

remote computing (column 1 line 31 - column 2 line 2), and controlling said virtual turret by

operating it through said mobile turret as if a user is seated at and operates said virtual turret

(abstract, figures 1 and 2, and column 2 line 42 - column 3 line 24).

Consider claims 3 and 4, and as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, Greene et al. further

disclose that said remote access system (mobile turret system) performs control of a virtual turret

by means of connection and control by remote computing (column 1 line 31 - column 3 line 24).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's

disclosure.

Booton (U.S. Patent # 5,991,390) disclose a method and apparatus for teleworking from

remote terminals.

10. Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (703) 872-9314 or mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Crystal Park II 2021 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202 Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rafael Perez-Gutierrez whose telephone number is (703) 308-8996. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, William G. Trost IV can be reached on (703) 308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700 or call customer service at (703) 306-0377.

R.P.G./rpg

RAFAEL PEREZ-GUTIERREZ PATENT EXAMINER

June 28, 2003

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600